

FILE NO. _____

STATE OF MINNESOTA

IN SUPREME COURT

FILED

May 7, 2021

**OFFICE OF
APPELLATE COURTS**

In Re Petition for Disciplinary Action
against BROOKS RICHARD SIEGEL,
a Minnesota Attorney,
Registration No. 0397925.

**STIPULATION FOR
RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE
PURSUANT TO RULE 12(d), RLPR**

THIS STIPULATION is entered into by and between Susan M. Humiston,
Director of the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility (Director), and Brooks
Richard Siegel, attorney (respondent).

WHEREAS, respondent has voluntarily consented to reciprocal discipline and
requested to enter into a stipulation for reciprocal discipline,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and
between the undersigned as follows:

1. Respondent understands that upon the filing of this stipulation and the
petition for disciplinary action (petition), this matter will be of public record.
2. It is understood that respondent has certain rights pursuant to Rule 12(d),
Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility (RLPR). Respondent waives these rights,
which include the right to submit briefing to the Court on the issue of whether
imposition of identical discipline to another jurisdiction would be unwarranted.
Respondent admits service of the petition.
3. Respondent does not contest that a final adjudication in another
jurisdiction, Arizona, that he committed certain misconduct establishes conclusively the
misconduct for purposes of disciplinary proceedings in Minnesota.

4. Respondent understands that based upon this admission of conclusively established misconduct, this Court, in imposing reciprocal discipline under Rule 12(d), RLPR, may elect to impose identical or different discipline as the sanction in the other jurisdiction, as appropriate, depending on Minnesota's precedent for similar instances of misconduct. Respondent understands that by entering into this stipulation, the Director is not making any representations as to the sanction the Court will impose.

5. The Director and respondent join in recommending that:

a. The appropriate discipline is imposition of identical discipline as that imposed in Arizona—that of a public reprimand and one-year probation pursuant to Rule 12(d), RLPR, as that probation is established in Arizona;

b. Respondent pay \$900 in costs pursuant to Rule 24(a), RLPR;

c. Respondent shall comply with the terms of probation as it is established and ongoing in Arizona. Upon the Director's request, respondent shall provide authorization(s) for release of information and documentation that may be necessary for the Director to monitor and/or verify respondent's compliance with the terms of the ongoing probation in Arizona.

d. Respondent shall abide by the Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct.

6. This stipulation is entered into by respondent freely and voluntarily, without any coercion, duress or representations by any person except as contained herein.

7. Respondent acknowledges receipt of a copy of this stipulation.

8. Respondent has been advised of the right to be represented herein by an attorney but has freely chosen to appear *pro se*.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties executed this stipulation on the dates indicated below.

Susan M. Humiston

Humiston, Susan
Apr 29 2021 11:36 AM

SUSAN M. HUMISTON
DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF LAWYERS
PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
Attorney No. 0254289
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 2400
St. Paul, MN 55101-2139
(651) 296-3952
Susan.Humiston@courts.state.mn.us

Nicole S. Frank Frank, Nicole
Apr 29 2021 9:11 AM

NICOLE S. FRANK
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
Attorney No. 0388822
Nicole.Frank@courts.state.mn.us

Dated: May 6th, 2021.

[Signature]

BROOKS RICHARD SIEGEL
RESPONDENT
Attorney No. 0397925
3323 NE 163rd Street, Suite 504
North Miami Beach, FL 33160
(888) 415-0610, ext. 5114
bsiegel@lemonlawgrouppartners.com